

***please reply to:***

Andrew McCallum  
Thames Valley Branch Secretary  
38 Lapsley Drive  
Banbury  
Oxfordshire  
OX16 1EW

Email: [thamesvalley@railfuture.org.uk](mailto:thamesvalley@railfuture.org.uk)

22 December 2025

## **West Oxfordshire Local Plan 2043 - Preferred Spatial Options**

Responses only to Q3, Q5, Q7-10, and Q21-25 submitted online, and reproduced in ***bold / italics*** below.

### **3 The Local Plan period**

Q1 – do you support an extended Local Plan end date of 2043?

### **4 The settlement hierarchy**

Q2 – do you support the concept of introducing an additional tier into the Local Plan settlement hierarchy to distinguish between large and medium-sized villages? What are your reasons?

Q3 – based on the proposed five-tiered approach, do you think that the settlements listed in Table 2 above have been classified in the correct tier?

***Note the debate about the validity of including Long Hanborough as a Service Centre, observing that the train service is generally only hourly with a couple of 2-hour gaps [late-Saturday down and early Sunday evening up] with two or three with-flow weekday peak extras.***

***Note the new addition of Salt Cross as a Service Centre.***

### **5 The Spatial Strategy**

Q4 – do you support the four proposed scales of residential development (small 1-10 units, medium 11-50 units, large 51-300 units and strategic 300+ units?) What could be the implications of defining different scales of development?

Q5 – do you support the revised spatial strategy outlined above? Would there be positive or negative implications for people and places arising from the spatial strategy?

***Yes. Positive by agglomerating most of West Oxfordshire's planned long-term growth at two Principal Towns and a Service Centre within a new rail corridor as the sustainability spine; virtuous circle.***

### **6 Meeting Identified Development Needs**

Q6 – do you support the principle of West Oxfordshire meeting its identified housing and employment development needs in full? Are the [there?] environmental and infrastructure constraints, beyond those referred to in Section 6, that should influence the development requirements for the plan?

[www.railfuture.org.uk](http://www.railfuture.org.uk) [www.railfuturescotland.org.uk](http://www.railfuturescotland.org.uk) [www.railfuturewales.org.uk](http://www.railfuturewales.org.uk)  
[www.railwatch.org.uk](http://www.railwatch.org.uk)

## 7 Strategic Spatial Options

Q7 – do you support the principle of updating and refreshing the existing allocation for Salt Cross Garden Village as part of the Local Plan 2043?

**Yes.**

Q8 – should the allocation be updated, should it address the quantum of development and CWORC plus any other relevant changes in circumstance since 2018?

**Yes.**

Q9 – do you support the principle of updating and refreshing the existing allocation for the West Eynsham SDA as part of the Local Plan 2043?

**Yes.**

Q10 – should the allocation be updated, should it address the quantum of development and the updated A40 access options assessment (June 2025) plus any other relevant changes in circumstance since 2018?

**Yes.**

Q11 – do you support the principle of updating and refreshing the existing allocation for the North Witney SDA as part of the Local Plan 2043?

Q12 – should the allocation be refreshed and updated, do you support a reduced quantum of development (1,250 homes) are there any other parts of the allocation that should be updated?

Q13 – do you support the principle of updating and refreshing the existing allocation for the East Chipping Norton SDA as part of the Local Plan 2043?

Q14 – should the allocation be updated, should it be based on a reduced quantum of development with a northern focus primarily on the land between London Road and Banbury Road plus any other relevant changes in circumstance since 2018?

Q15 – do you support the principle of updating the existing allocation for Reema North and Central as part of the Local Plan 2043?

Q16 – should the allocation be refreshed and updated, should it continue to be based on an assumed quantum of 300 homes plus any other relevant changes in circumstance since 2018?

## Preferred Strategic Site Options

Q17 – Area A – Land North of Burford Road, Witney - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

Q18 – Area B – Land west of Downs Road, Witney (Peashell Farm) - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

Q19 – Area C – Land South of Witney - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

Q20 – Area D – Land West of Witney - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

Q21 – Area E – North of Carterton (Brize Norton and Shilton) - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?  
**Yes.** *This area must be considered cumulatively in combination with Areas F, G, H, and I as vital to supporting the case for CWORC.*

Q22 – Area F – North East of Carterton (Brize Norton) - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

**Yes.** *This area must be considered cumulatively in combination with Areas E, G, H, and I as vital to supporting the case for CWORC.*

Q23 – Area G – North East of Carterton (Brize Norton) - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

**Yes.** *This area must be considered cumulatively in combination with Areas E, F, H, and I as vital to supporting the case for CWORC.*

Q24 – Area H – East of Brize Norton - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

**Yes.** *This area must be considered cumulatively in combination with Areas E, F, G, and I as vital to supporting the case for CWORC.*

Q25 – Area I – Land at West Carterton (Alvescot) - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

**Yes.** *This area must be considered cumulatively in combination with Areas E, F, G, and H as vital to supporting the case for CWORC.*

Q26 – Area J – East Chipping Norton - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

Q27 – Area K – Land South West of Hanborough Station - is this a suitable location for strategic scale development? What are the reasons for or against strategic scale development in this location?

## **8 Other Spatial Options**

Q28 – do you support the principle of updating and refreshing the existing allocation for Woodford Way car park, Witney as part of the Local Plan 2043?

Q29 – should the allocation be refreshed and updated, do you agree that this should better reflect anticipated site capacity (75 homes) plus any other relevant changes in circumstance since 2018?

Q30 – Area L – East of Bampton - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q31 – Area M – North of Aston - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q32 – Area N – South of Sheep Street, Burford - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q33 – Area O – Jeffersons Piece - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q34 – Area P – Land south of Hydac, Charlbury - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q35 – Area Q – South of Ducklington - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q36 – Area R – Kingham Station - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q37 – Area S – Middle Barton - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q38 – Area T – The Downs Standlake - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q39 – Area U – Rousham Road, Tackley - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q40 – Area V – Enstone Airfield - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?

Q41 – Area W – Welch Way, Witney - is this a suitable location for non-strategic development? What are the reasons for or against development in this location?