

Response ID ANON-SYER-FF6A-6

Submitted to **Have your say on proposals for a new river crossing between Rotherhithe and Canary Wharf**

Submitted on **2018-01-06 19:00:10**

Our overall proposals

1 Do you support a new river crossing between Rotherhithe and Canary Wharf for pedestrians and cyclists?

Strongly support

Please tell us why below:

Active travel is to be encouraged for personal and environmental health reasons, helping to enhance the wellbeing of people and the planet.

Options

2 Do you support our preferred option of a navigable bridge?

Strongly support

Do you have any further comments on TfL's preferred option, other options or the selection process?:

No.

Bridge location

3 Considering our preferred option of a navigable bridge, we would like to know your views on the following potential crossing locations?

Considering our preferred option of a navigable bridge, do you support our proposed crossing locations? - Northern alignment:

Considering our preferred option of a navigable bridge, do you support our proposed crossing locations? - Central alignment:

Considering our preferred option of a navigable bridge, do you support our proposed crossing locations? - Southern alignment:

Do you have any comments on the location of a bridge?:

We neither support nor oppose any particular alignment.

Bridge height

4 Considering the information provided, which would you prefer?

Lower bridge

Please tell us why below:

Easier for users, especially those with mobility impairments.

Bridge design

5 Which of the following aspects are important to you? (tick all that apply):

Access to the bridge deck (by ramps, lifts, stairs or other means), Accessibility and inclusivity for all types of user, Bridge height (height of the deck for users), Onward journey connections, Opening time frequencies, Opening time length, Safety and security, Segregation between cyclists and pedestrians, Urban realm and landscaping around the bridge landing sites, Width of the bridge deck

Other:

Protection from crosswinds

General comments on the project as a whole

6 Do you have any further comments you would like to make about our proposals?

Comments:

As a rail development campaign Railfuture supports measures to improve and increase access to/from rail stations by pedestrians and cyclists [where and when cycles are permitted on trains].

About you

7 Are you (please tick all boxes that apply):

Other (please specify)

Other:

National independent voluntary organisation

8 How would you use the bridge?

Both walk and cycle

9 If you chose 'Cycle' for the previous question, how would you prefer to access the bridge deck (as a cyclist)?

Ramp (the ramp may require some detour from the direction of travel to reach this height with a comfortable cycling gradient)

Other:

Please tell us why below:

Cyclists much prefer to stay in their saddles and keep cycling!

10 Why would you use the bridge?

Other (please specify below)

Other:

Leisure and work journeys

11 What is your name?

Name:

Roger Blake

12 What is your email address?

Email:

roger.blake@railfuture.org.uk

13 Please provide us with your postcode?

Postcode:

N16 0DX

14 If responding on behalf of an organisation, business or campaign group, please provide us with the name:

Organisation:

Railfuture - London & South East regional branch

15 How did you find out about this consultation?

Saw it on the TfL website

Other:

16 What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)?

Very good

Do you have any further comments about the quality of the consultation material?:

No.

Equality Monitoring

17 Gender:

Prefer not to say

18 Ethnic Group:

Prefer not to say

19 Age:

Prefer not to say

20 Sexual Orientation:

Prefer not to say

21 Religious faith:

Prefer not to say

22 Are your day-to-day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 months? (Please include problems related to old age)

Prefer not to say