

## Response ID ANON-MK8D-A4KZ-E

Submitted to **Bakerloo line extension**

Submitted on **2019-12-22 16:13:28**

### Our proposals

**1 Please let us have any comments about our proposals, including how these may impact you whether in a positive or negative way.**

**Comments:**

We are broadly content with the current proposals to be taken forward in 2023 to an application for an Order under the Transport & Works Act.

Our principal concerns are firstly that any extension beyond Lewisham is not necessary in order to support the new homes and jobs in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area or much of the New Cross / Lewisham / Catford Opportunity Area, secondly that an extension beyond Lewisham is likely to overload the central section of the Bakerloo line (see our response to question 16 in the 2014 consultation), and thirdly that the location, design and construction of the Lewisham platforms and tunnels and of the Wearside Road facility must not preclude (or increase the cost/difficulty of) construction of a new route through Lewisham between Docklands and Croydon (see part 3 of our response to question 19 in the 2014 consultation).

**2 Please let us have any comments on our proposals for a new combined Bakerloo line and Northern line ticket hall at Elephant & Castle station.**

**Comments:**

Broadly supportive, otherwise no specific comments.

**3 Please let us have any comments on our proposed new route for the Bakerloo line between Lambeth North and Elephant & Castle.**

**Comments:**

No specific comments.

**4 Please let us have any comments on our proposed route for the Bakerloo line extension between Elephant & Castle and Lewisham.**

**Comments:**

Broadly supportive as it enables delivery of new homes and jobs in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area, otherwise no specific comments.

**5a) We have considered three possible primary tunnelling worksites for the proposed extension at New Cross Gate, Hither Green and Catford. Our proposal is for the primary tunnelling worksite to be at New Cross Gate. Please let us have any comments on the possible primary tunnelling worksites.**

**Comments:**

No specific comments.

**5b) In our previous consultation in 2017 we discussed that there would be a worksite at Old Kent Road 1 to build the station. We have updated our proposals and we are now also considering carrying out tunnelling activities from the site towards Lambeth North. Please let us have any comments on our updated proposal for how we could use the Old Kent Road 1 worksite.**

**Comments:**

No specific comments.

**6 Please let us have any comments for our proposals for the Wearside Road Council depot site where empty trains would be stabled.**

**Comments:**

As in our response to question 1: its design and construction must not preclude (or increase the cost/difficulty of) construction of a new route through Lewisham between Docklands and Croydon.

**7 Please let us have your views on the name of Old Kent Road 1 station. Suggestions for this station have included Old Kent Road or Burgess Park. This is a popular nearby park and there is a history of Tube stations being named after parks (e.g. Green Park, Regents Park). We welcome your views on the name of the station\*.**

**Comments:**

**8 Please let us have your views on the name of Old Kent Road 2 station. Suggestions for this station have included Old Kent Road or Asylum which reflects the nearby road of that name and the history of buildings in the area. We welcome your views on the name of the station\*.**

**Comments:**

**9 We are considering a further extension of the route beyond Lewisham to Hayes and Beckenham Junction. This would involve a conversion of the National Rail line via Catford to Hayes to an Underground operation.**

Strongly oppose

**Comments:**

Developing our 2014 response as already noted above, we do not regard further extension of the Bakerloo line from Lewisham to Hayes as the only never mind the best way to realise the objectives declared for it, it is likely to have a detrimental impact of the functioning of the line in the central area, and it would preclude the development of an alternative scheme with wider benefits for south-east and east London, and beyond.

**About you****10 What is your name?****Name:**

Roger Blake

**11 What is your email address?****Email:**

roger.blake@railfuture.org.uk

**12 What is your postcode?****Postcode:**

N16 ODX

**13 Are you (please tick all boxes that apply):**

Not local but interested in the scheme

**Other:****14 If responding on behalf of an organisation, business or campaign group, please provide us with the name:****Organisation:**

Railfuture Ltd

**15 How did you find out about this consultation?**

Saw it on the TfL website

**Other:****16 What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)?**

**What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Website structure & ease of finding what you needed:**

Very good

**What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Written information:**

Very good

**What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Maps, images & related diagrams:**

Very good

**What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Online survey format:**

Very good

**What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Website accessibility:**

Very good

**What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Events & exhibitions:**

Very good

**What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Promotional material:**

Very good

**Do you have any further comments about the quality of the consultation material?:**

## **Equality Monitoring**

### **17 Gender:**

Prefer not to say

### **18 Ethnic Group:**

Prefer not to say

### **19 Age:**

Prefer not to say

### **20 Sexual Orientation:**

Prefer not to say

### **21 Religious faith:**

Prefer not to say

### **22 Are your day-to-day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 months? (Please include problems related to old age)**

Prefer not to say

Prefer not to say

**Other:**